

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,077	05/01/2007	Meir Uri	27575U	4031
20529 THE NATH I	7590 09/14/201 A.W. C.D.O.I.ID	EXAMINER		
112 South We	st Street		KOAGEL, JONATHAN BRYAN	
Alexandria, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3744	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/14/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/588,077	URI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JONATHAN KOAGEL	3744		

	JONATHAN KOAGEL	3744						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 01 September 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION I	FOR ALLOWANCE.						
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following i application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of eplies: (1) an amendment, affidav al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abai it, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	hich places the (3) a Request					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.							
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or the control of the con	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection	n.					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f), Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.13(e), 1 The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13(e) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(e) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the properties of the properties of	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
 The proposed amendment(s) field after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below). They are not deemed to place the application in bett application in bett application. 	sideration and/or search (see NO v);	TE below);						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	Id Con attacked Nation of Nam Co		DTOL 204)					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
	5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims volud be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		II be entered and an e	xplanation of					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. Mather request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see continuation sheet.								
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
/Cheryl J. Tyler/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3744	/J. K./ Examiner, Art Unit 3744							

In response to the applicant's argument regarding the Arav reference teaching away from the convention all freezing method of Polk, the examiner disagrees. Arav is disclosing direction freezing and Polk still utilizes this technique along with conditive freezing. As the packages are entering and exiting the apparatus of Polk, the are still being cooled via directional freezing. Therefore, Arav is not teaching away from the freezing method of Polk. In response to the applicant's argument regarding there being no motivation to modify Arav with the teachings of Polk, the examiner disagrees. The examiner stated in the last office action that it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Arav with the teachings of Polk in order to increase the amount of heat transferred from the container at at the time of invention to modify Arav with the teachings of Polk in order to increase the amount of heat transferred from the container are still moving through the apparatus of Polk when a new package enters and one package exits. In other words, as the packages are resting and exiting the cooling device of Polk, the packages are being cooled by directional cooling, as the temperature surrounding the packages as they are entering and exiting the cooling device is much lower than the actual temperature of the packages. This directional cooling results in a temperature gradient. Polk explicitly states that once the spaces between place are completely filled with packages the packages are admitted a row at a time and discharged a row at a time at the bottom. Furthermore, the use of cooling by conduction as disclosed by Polk will still allow for temperature gradients to exist within Aray, since Arav is discing that the samples move through the cooling device and once modified, the samples will move through the cooling device but will be further cooled via conduction as the samples will be in contact with the plates.